

Prevalence of Poultry Coccidiosis in and Around Jimma Town, South Western Ethiopia

Abdisa keshu^{1*}, Gemeda Dilgasa² and Wazir shafi³

¹Veterinarian at Dugda woreda livestock Adama, Oromia Region, Ethiopia

²Anchar Woreda Livestock and Fishery Development Office, West Harerghe, Oromia, Ethiopia

³Anchar Woreda Livestock and Fishery Development Office, West Harerghe, Oromia, Ethiopia

***Corresponding Author:** Abdisa keshu, Veterinarian at Dugda woreda livestock Adama, Oromia Region, Ethiopia, Tel: 251912863386, E-mail: abdisa4130@gmail.com

Citation: Abdisa keshu, Gemeda Dilgasa, Wazir shafi (2023) Prevalence of Poultry Coccidiosis in and Around Jimma Town, South Western Ethiopia. J Vet Ani Res 5:101

Abstract

A cross sectional study design was conducted from November, 2014 to April, 2015 to estimate the prevalence of poultry coccidiosis in and around Jimma town, south western Ethiopia and to assess its relationship with different risk factors. Simple random sampling technique was conducted to select birds found in and around the town. Test tube flotation technique was used for qualitative study of coccidial oocyst and descriptive statistics was utilized to summarize the data. The association between the prevalence of the disease and risk factors was assessed by Chi-square. Out of the total 384 chickens examined, 156 (40.62%) were positive for coccidian parasites. The prevalence was significantly different between breed ($X^2 = 4.48$, $P = 0.03$), management ($X^2 = 18.00$, $P = 0.00$) and age ($X^2 = 19.04$, $P = 0.00$). However, no statistically significant difference ($X^2 = 1.19$, $P = 0.28$) was found in the prevalence of coccidiosis between sex. In conclusion, the present study showed that coccidiosis was an important disease of poultry in the study area with increasing prevalence in younger birds and those kept under intensive management system and therefore, good poultry management systems such as; treating diseased poultry, maintaining cleanness of environment and applying strict biosecurity measures have to be practiced in different poultry production systems.

Keywords: Coccidiosis; Jimma town; Poultry; Prevalence

Introduction

Poultres are kept in backyards or commercial production system in most areas of the world and survey made by Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) put the whole poultry production in the world at approximately 22 billion, with about 75% in the developing countries [1].

In developing countries poultry production offers an opportunity to feed the fast-growing human population and to provide income for resource poor farmers [2]. Moreover, poultry in many parts of the modern world is considered as the chief source of not only cheaper protein of animal origin but also of high-quality human food [3]. One of the main constraints for the development of poultry production is development of disease condition [4], which can have devastating effects particularly on intensive production.

Poultry is among the important species of livestock kept in Ethiopia. The total poultry population in Ethiopia was estimated to about 50.38 million (CSA, 2013). Mortality rate in the country due to disease is estimated between 20% and 50% but can go as high as 80% during times of epidemic [5]. Different diseases have been diagnosed or suspected in commercial poultry in Ethiopia leading to the economic loss and these are Newcastle disease, coccidiosis, salmonellosis, chronic respiratory disease and nutritional deficiencies [6].

Coccidiosis is an important parasitic disease of poultry caused by protozoa of the phylum Apicomplexa, family Eimeriidae. In poultry, most species belong to the genus *Eimeria* and infect various sites in the intestine [7]. The infectious process is rapid (4-7 days) and is characterized by parasite replication in host cells with extensive damage to the intestinal mucosa. Poultry coccidians are strictly host-specific, and the different species parasitize specific parts of the intestine. The life cycle is direct and involves oral ingestion of the infective stage of the sporulated oocyst. Destruction of host tissue as a result of parasite development and multiplication leads to the various clinical manifestations observed in outbreaks of disease [8].

The macroscopic lesions in the digestive tract are some predisposing factors to many gastrointestinal bacterial poultry diseases such as Clostridiosis, Salmonellosis and Colibacillosis. Certain immunosuppressive viral diseases such as Infectious bursal disease, Marek's disease and Chick anemia infectious viral disease also exacerbate coccidiosis [9].

Coccidians which are deep tissue invaders such as *Eimeria maxima*, *E. necatrix* and *E. tenella* cause severe necrosis, haemorrhage of the intestinal mucosa, and bloody diarrhea and may result in death. Signs include watery and bloody droppings, mortality (0-50%) and morbidity (0-100%), depression, poor weight gain and feed conversion, and a drop in egg production. In many farms, 305 birds die annually due to the effect of disease (coccidiosis) which cause retarded growth, poor condition, reduced egg production and low vitality [10]. The disease is endemic in most of the tropical and subtropical regions where ecological and management conditions favour an all-year round development and propagation of the causal agent [11].

In the domestic chickens at least nine species of *Eimeria* have been recognized to cause the disease [12]. *E. brunetti*, *E. maxima*, *E. necatrix*, and *E. tenella* are highly pathogenic; *E. acervulina*, *E. mitis*, and *E. mivati* are rather less pathogenic, and *E. praecox* and *E. hagani* are regarded as the least pathogenic [13]. Bad management (such as wet litter that encourages oocyst sporulation, contaminated drinkers and feeders, bad ventilation, and high stocking density) can exacerbate the clinical signs [14]. Coccidiosis can be controlled by good management including good ventilation, dry and clean litter, cleaning and decontamination of drinkers and feeders, and proper stocking density in the farm [7].

Coccidiosis is endemic in Ethiopia, causing great economic losses, particularly in young growing birds, in different production systems [15]. Qualitative losses due to coccidiosis in Ethiopia were not well documented, but the study conducted by [16] showed that coccidiosis contributes to 8.4 and 11.86% losses in profit in large and small-scale farms, respectively. Losses due to mortality following a severe outbreak may be devastating and incidence rates as high as 80% were sometimes observed in the country [12].

The disease contributed to be a problem as reported by [17] who recorded prevalence rates of 50.8% and 11% in deep litter intensive system and backyard poultry production systems, respectively. However, the prevalence of the disease and associated risk factors in and around Jimma town were not well addressed yet.

Therefore, the objectives of this study were:

- ✓ To estimate the prevalence of poultry coccidiosis in and around Jimma town and
- ✓ To assess the association of the disease with different risk factors.

Materials and Methods

Description of the Study Area

The study was conducted from November, 2014 to April, 2015 in Poultry farms found in and around Jimma town. The town is located at the South western part of Ethiopia in Oromia Regional State at about 352 km away from Addis Ababa. Geographically the town is located at latitude of about 7°13' -8°56' N and longitude of about 35°52' - 37°37' E and at elevation ranging 880-3360 meter above sea level. Ecologically the area lies in wet land ecosystem and area receives a mean annual rainfall of about 1530ml, which comes from the long and short rainy seasons. The annual minimum and maximum temperature is about 14.4 and 26.7°C, respectively [18]

Study Population

The study populations were exotic and local breeds of chickens from a poultry farm of JUCAVM (Jimma University College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine) and from chickens owned by local farmers in and around the town. Chickens in the study area were kept both under backyard and intensive husbandry system. All the chickens were grouped into sex (male and female), breeds (exotic and local) and ages as young (2-8 weeks) and adult (above 8 weeks of age).

Sample Size Determination

The sample size was determined based on the formula recommended by [19].

$$N = 1.96^2 \times P_{\text{exp}}(1 - P_{\text{exp}})/d^2$$

Where N = sample size

P_{exp} = expected prevalence

d = desired absolute precision.

Since the prevalence of poultry Coccidiosis in and around Jimma town has not reported, sample size was determined based on the assumption that 50% expected prevalence rate, 95% confidence interval and 5% desired absolute precision [20]. Therefore, the total sample size required was 384.

Study Design and Sampling Methodology

A cross sectional study design was conducted from November, 2014 to April, 2015 where simple random sampling technique was conducted to select the poultries which were brought to the Jimma town market and those found in JUCAVM poultry farm. Breed, age, management, and sex of the studied animals were considered as risk factors.

Fecal Sample Collection and Examination

This study involved qualitative fecal examination to investigate oocyst discharge. Freshly deposited fecal samples of poultry birds of different ages, breed, and sex were collected from the upper surface of the litter or from the ground immediately after drooping of the feces by the birds. Clean spatula was used to collect the fecal sample and it was washed and cleaned again after each collection in order to avoid contamination. Each fecal sample was placed in pre-labeled screw cup bottle indicating the sex, age, breed, management and origin of the chicken and brought to JUCAVM parasitology laboratory where they were processed. Those samples that were not immediately examined were stored at refrigeration temperature (about 4 °c) until processing.

Oocysts in each fecal sample of chicken were detected through flotation technique by using saturated Sodium Chloride solution as described by Conway and McKenzie (2007).

Data Management and Analysis

The raw data were entered and managed in Microsoft Excel worksheet and descriptive statistic was utilized to summarize the data. The point prevalence was calculated for all data by dividing positive samples by total number of examined samples and multiplied by hundred. The association between the prevalence of the disease and risk factors was assessed by Chi-square. A statically significant association between variables was considered to exist if the computed *P value* was less than 0.05. All statistical analyses were done using SPSS statistical software version 17.

Result

The overall prevalence of poultry coccidiosis in the study area was 40.62%. The individual prevalence of coccidiosis in exotics and local breeds were 46.51 and 35.85% respectively. There was statistical difference ($X^2 = 19.04$; $P = 0.00$) in coccidial infection between exotic and local breeds (Table 1).

Among examined chickens, higher infection (53.85%) rate was observed in chicken under the age category of 2 to 8 weeks (young) than in chickens greater than 8 weeks (adult) (31.58%). There was statistically significant difference ($X^2 = 19.04$; $P = 0.00$) between the two-age group. Higher infection rate was detected in intensive birds (54.05%) as compared to birds kept under backyard management system (32.20%). Based on the management system there was statistical significance difference ($X^2 = 18.00$; $P = 0.00$) between the intensive and backyard chickens. The prevalence rate of 38 and 43.48% were also recorded in males and females respectively. Female birds show higher infection rate than males; however, there was no significant difference ($X^2 = 1.19$; $P = 0.28$) in between two sexes (Table 1).

Table1: Association between prevalence and risk factors

Risk Factors	Categories	No. examined	No. positive	Prevalence (%)	Chi square (X^2)	<i>P- value</i>
Breed	Local	212	76	35.85	4.48	0.03
	Exotic	172	80	46.50		
Age	Young	156	84	53.85	19.04	0.00
	Adult	228	72	31.58		
Management	Backyard	236	76	32.20	18.00	0.00
	Intensive	148	80	54.05		
Sex	Male	200	76	38.00	1.19	1.28
	Female	184	80	43.48		

Discussion

The results of the present study illustrate that, the disease coccidiosis is still prevalent in the study area with the overall prevalence of 40.62%. This result agreed with the finding of [22,23] who reported a prevalence of coccidiosis as 38.5% and 41.43% in Kombolcha (Ethiopia) and Centane district (South Africa), respectively. Moreover, this result was also in line with the finding of [24] who reported the prevalence of poultry coccidiosis (42.4%) in litter based high stocking density layer rearing system of Benin. The finding of this research was also very close to the finding of [25] who reported a prevalence of 38.34% in grower chicken in Kombolcha farm, North- Eastern Ethiopia.

However, the present result was inconsistent with the finding of (Garbiet *al.*, 2012) [26,12] who reported the prevalence of 19.5% in Nekemte town, East wollega, Ethiopia, 20.57% in poultry farm in and around Ambo town, Western Ethiopia and 22.58% in litter system of exotic breed (Rhode Island Red) in Tiyo district, Arsi zone, Ethiopia respectively. This variation in prevalence of the disease may be due to epidemiology of coccidian infection and differences in management systems of the farms.

The prevalence of the disease was higher in exotic (46.50%) than local breeds (35.85%) and there was statistically significant difference ($P = 0.03$) between the two breeds. This agreed with the finding of [26] who reported higher prevalence in Bovans brown (23.21%) than local breeds (13.61%). It also agreed with the findings of [12,26] who reported higher prevalence in exotic breeds. In the present study, higher rate of infection might be due to breed difference. It was also documented that, some indigenous breed of chicken could produce immunity earlier than exotic breeds [28]. In addition [29] have reported the existence of genetic variation in resistance to coccidiosis among breeds and strains.

The current study also revealed that, all ages of poultry are susceptible to coccidiosis, but younger birds (53.85%) are more susceptible to infection than adult birds (31.58%). This also agreed with the reports of (Garbiet *al.*, 2012) [30] who stated that all ages of poultry are susceptible to coccidial infection. These upshots are in accordance with the conclusion of [31,32] who also observed the same pattern of infection in the Farasan gazelles infected with the single species of *Eimeria* and susceptibility of younger chickens than adult chickens in layers in Muzafargarh district respectively. The result is in concurrence with the report of [33] which stated that, the predominance of coccidial infection among adult chickens were 36.7% and among the younger chickens were 52.9%. The prevalence rate of the disease was significantly different ($P = 0.00$) between young and adult birds. This was because most coccidial infections occur at the age of 3 to 4 weeks but clinical diseases will be develop one or two weeks later. The disease appears to reach climax at 5 to 7 weeks of age and as the age exceeded 7 weeks, most birds will develop immunity and increase resistance to the disease [34].

Upon the finding, the prevalence rate of coccidiosis in intensive management (54.05%) was greater than those chickens managed under free ranging (backyard) system (32.20%). This finding is in line with report of [35] which reports that, coccidiosis was the most problems to chickens kept under intensive management especially those on deep litter. However, the current finding was inconsistent with [36] who stated high infection rate of backyard chickens with *Eimeria* species in Jammu region. In the present study, statistically significant difference ($P = 0.00$) was found between backyard and intensive farms, and percentage prevalence of infection in intensive chicken may be high due to relative higher oocyst accumulation in deep litter. In addition [37] reported that, as long as birds are reared in contact with their faeces, as when they are raised on built-up litter, then diseases such as coccidiosis will continue to occur.

The present study also indicated that, the prevalence of coccidiosis was relatively higher in female (43.48%) than male (38.00%) chickens; however, there was no statistically significant difference among sex ($P = 0.28$). This result is in consistent with the previous studies of (Alemayhuet *al.*, 2012) [38,26]. Absence of statistically significant difference between female and male might be due to equal chance of exposure for the parasitic infection.

Conclusion

Coccidiosis is the most important constraint for poultry production in both free ranging (backyard) and intensive poultry management system, and it is still the most important parasitic disease in the study area with increasing prevalence in younger birds and those kept under intensive management system. Managerial problems such as high stocking density, poor quality and management of litter, inadequate cleaning, the absence of vaccines and non-strategic prophylaxis against *Emieria* species were the main reason and predisposing factors for the higher prevalence of poultry coccidiosis in the study area. Hence the poultry coccidiosis is demanding a lot of interventions and further research, to develop economical and sustainable prevention and control strategies. The economic incursion by coccidiosis can be minimized through improving management level, which minimizes the predisposing factors at strategic time, will be effective mechanism particularly in intensive production system.

Therefore, based on the above conclusion the following recommendations will be forwarded:

- ✓ Awareness should be created among poultry producers regarding to the effect of the disease on poultry health.
- ✓ Good poultry management systems such as; treating diseased poultry, maintaining cleanness of environment and applying strict biosecurity measures have to be practiced in different poultry production systems.
- ✓ Vaccination against coccidiosis should be sought for in the future, particularly for highly susceptible breeds, age groups and in predisposing management systems with appropriate timing.

References

1. FAO (2013) Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. FAO Statistical Databases. FAO, Rome, Italy.
2. CSA (2004) Agriculture sample enumeration statistical abstract, Central Statistical Authority, Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia.
3. Jordal F, Pattison M, Alexander D, Faragher H (2002). Poultry disease 5th ed Hong Kong Harcourt publishers limited 418.
4. Alamargot J (1987) Avian Pathology of Industrial Poultry Farms in Ethiopia. In: Proceeding of First National Livestock Conference, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. S and Kassa, A. (2012): Prevalence study of poultry coccidiosis in small- and large-scale farms in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Scientific Journal of Crop Science 1: 26-31.
5. Yami A (1995). Poultry production in Ethiopia World Poul Sci. J. 52: 197-201.
6. Nasser M (1998). Oral Newcastle disease vaccination trials and studies on Newcastle disease in Ethiopia. Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Free University of Berlin, Debre Zeit/Berlin, Msc Thesis.
7. McDougald L (2003) Coccidiosis. In Saif (eds) Diseases of Poultry, Iowa State Press, Iowa 1001–1010.
8. Allen P, Fetterer H (2002) Recent advances in biology and immunology of Eimeria species and in diagnosis and control of infection with coccidian parasites of poultry. Cl. Microbiol 15: 58-65.
9. Bostvironnois C, Zadjian C (2011) Coccidiosis subcliniques en production de poulet de chair: Bilan et perspectives. Neuvième Journée de Recherche Avicole, Tours 29: 585-8.
10. Ajayi E (1981) Poultry Coccidiosis, Its Sources, Orientation of Infection, Prevention and Control. Nigeria Livestock Farmer 2: 3-4.
11. Obasi L, Ifut O, Offiong E (2006) An outbreak of caecal coccidiosis in a broiler flock post Newcastle disease vaccination. Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances, 5: 1239-41.
12. Gari G, Tilahun G, Dorchie P (2008) Study in poultry coccidiosis in Tiyo district, Arsi. Int. J. Poul. Sci. 7: 251-6.
13. Morris G, Woods W, Richards D, Gasser R (2007) The application of a polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based capillary electrophoretic technique provides detailed insights into Eimeria populations in intensive poultry establishments. Mol. Cell. Prob., 21: 288-94.
14. Graat A, Henken M, Ploeger W, Noordhuizen P, Vertommen H (1994) Rate and course of sporulation of oocysts of Eimeria acervulina under different environmental conditions. Parasitology 108: 497-502.
15. FAO (1995) Livestock Development strategy for low-income countries. In proceedings of the joint FAO, round table on livestock development strategies for low-income countries, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 9-22.
16. Kinung'hi M, Getachew T, Hafez M, Moges W, Moses K, et al. (2004) Assessment of economic impact caused by poultry coccidiosis in small and large poultry farms in Debre Zeit, Ethiopia. Int. J. Poul. Sci 3: 715-8.
17. Guale F (1990). Poultry coccidiosis and effect of management system. An assessment trial in Debre Zeit and its surroundings. DVM thesis, Addis Ababa University Faculty Veterinary Medicine, Debre Zeit, Ethiopia.

18. JZARDO (2001): Jimma zone Agriculture and Rural Development Office, Annual report
19. Thrusfield M (1995) *Veterinary Epidemiology*, 2nd Edn. Blackwell Sci. Ltd, UK 178-87.
20. Thrusfield M (2005). *Veterinary epidemiology*, 2 ed. UK: Blackwell Science 178-87.
21. Conway P, McKenzie M (2007) *Poultry coccidiosis diagnostic and testing procedures*. 3 ed. America: Blackwell publishing 134-65.
22. Netsanet W (2003). *Poultry coccidiosis: its prevalence and distribution of Eimeria species in Kombolcha, South Wollo, Ethiopia*. DVM thesis, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Addis Ababa University, Debreziet, Ethiopia
23. Mwale M, Masika P (2011). Point prevalence study of gastro-intestinal parasites in village chickens of Centane district, South Africa, *Afr. J. Agri. Res* 6:2033-8.
24. Herve B, Dakpogana H, Sahidou S (2013) Coccidiosis prevalence and intensity in litter based high stocking density layer rearing system of Benin. *J. Anim. Plant Sci* 17: 2522-6.
25. Lobago F, Worku N, Wossene A (2005). Study on coccidiosis in Kombolcha poultry farm, Ethiopia. *Trop. Anim, Health Prod.* 37: 245-51.
26. Diriba O, Achenef M, Bazaznew B (2012). prevalence and risk factors of coccidiosis in poultry farms in and around Ambo town, Western Ethiopia. *Am. Eur. J. Sci. Res.* 7: 146-9.
27. Garbi F, Tesfaye A, Woyessa M (2015). The study of poultry coccidiosis in Nekemte town, East Wollega, Ethiopia. *African. J. Agr. Res.* 10: 328-33.
28. Rehman B (1971) Comparative studies on immune response in Desi and foreign breeds of chicken against *Eimeria tenella*. *Park. J. Sci.* 23: 201-4.
29. Calnek B, Barnes H, Beard C, Reid W, Yoder H (1991). *Disease of poultry* 9th ed. Iowa University press: Ames, IA. 723-78.
30. Julie H (1999). *Coccidiosis in poultry Livestock, poultry Health Programs*, Clemson, Columbia.
31. Omer S, Apio A, Wroski T, Mohammad O (2011). A new coccidial parasite (*Eimeria farasani* n. sp). Indicates parasitic host-specify in endemic Farasan gazelle. *Int. J. Zool. Res* 7: 85-92.
32. Bachaya H, raza M, Khan M, Iqbal Z, Abbas R, et al. (2012). Predominance and detection of different *Eimeria* species causing coccidiosis in layer chickens. *J. An. Plan sci.* 22: 1018-7081.
33. Muazu A, Masdooq A, Ngbede J, Salihu E, Haruna G, et al. (2008). Prevalence and identification of species of *Eimeria* causing coccidiosis in poultry with in Vom, plateau state, Nigeria. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.* 7: 917-8.
34. Bowman D (2009). *Georges parasitology for veterinarians*. 9 ed. India: Saunders Elsevier, 2: 94.
35. Taylor M, Coop R, Wall L (2007). *Veterinary parasitology*. 3 ed. UK: Black well Science 475-84.
36. Sharma S, Iqbal A, Azmi S, Shah H (2003). Study of poultry coccidiosis in organized and backyard farms of Jammu region. *Vet world* 6: 467-9.

37. David C, Thomas K (2014). Vaccination of chickens against coccidiosis ameliorates drug resistance in commercial poultry production. *Int. J. Parasitol: Drugs and Drug Resistance* 4: 214-7.
38. Nematollahi A, Gholamali M, Reze, F (2009). Prevalence of Eimeriaspecies among broiler chicks in Tabriz, Iran. *MunisEntomol.and Zool* 4: 53-8.
39. Al-Natour Q, Suleiman M, Abo-Shehadab, N. (2002). Flock-level prevalence of Eimeriaspecies among broiler chicks in northern Jordan. *Prev. Vet. Med* 53: 305-10.
40. Ethiopia central Statistical Agency (CSA) (2012). Report in livestock and livestock characteristics (private peasant holdings). *Agric. Sample survey* 2: 23-24.