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Abstract

Background: As individual lives with Diabetes Mellitus, the emergence and progression cardiovascular risks are relevant in the management of patients. While some appear early and last long some appear late and cause patients condition to deteriorate rapidly.

Objectives: To assess the relative progression of various cardiovascular risk factors considering the duration of diabetes mellitus.

Method: One hundred and ninety asymptomatic people living with diabetes mellitus were evaluated considering time as at first diagnosis of DM irrespective of the initial care given. Cardiovascular risk factors were compared in 6 sub-grouping based on duration of diabetes mellitus.

Results: Increasing duration of DM showed significant increase with left ventricular mass, uric acid and creatinine.

Conclusion: Cardiovascular risk no doubt increases as the duration of DM increases and more so on the heart and the kidney.
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Introduction

Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is a common chronic endocrine disorder of insulin secretion or function resulting in the disturbances of carbohydrate, fats and protein metabolism characterised by hyperglycemia. Cardiovascular complications are the most important cause of morbidity and mortality. Epidemiological and clinical trial data have estimated that 60–70% of all patients with type 2 diabetes will die from CVD [1,2]. DM adds about 15 years in terms of cardiovascular risk compared to normal individuals [3].

Duration of DM is a very important factor in the development of cardiovascular complications irrespective of the quality of care given [4,5]. Overt nephropathy caused by glomerulosclerosis appears 10-15 years after the onset of insulin dependent DM (IDDM) and after 5-10 years in patients with non-insulin dependent DM (NIDDM) [5,6]. Although duration of DM was based on subject’s recall, using this might give an idea of the possible progression of complications present. Most patients in our environment present to the specialist clinic only after having consulted several other treatment modalities ranging from self-medications, faith based interventions, nearby chemists or laboratories.

This work compares the association of progression duration of diabetes mellitus with some common cardiovascular risk identifiers.

Methods

This was an observation made from a carotid intima-media thickness (original study) in study between 2011 and 2012. A cross sectional study among adults 18 years old or above. Subjects were consecutively recruited while being evaluated on first specialist clinic visit at the medical out-patient clinic at the Jos University Teaching Hospital. They are not known to be on be managed of any complication, and all the patients must have given an informed consent. The subjects less than eighteen years, regular clinic patients and those that declined participating are excluded from the study. The original study was duly approved by the Ethics Committee of the Jos University Teaching Hospital, Jos, Nigeria.

A questionnaire was used to obtain bio-data and relevant clinical history with durations of illness. A general clinical examination was carried out on the subjects. Fasting plasma glucose, waist circumference, BMI were measured and Blood pressure.
Laboratory analysis

Seven (7) mls of fasting venous blood sample was taken for serum createnin, lipids and uric acid level.

Ultrasonography

The carotid intima-media thickness of the far wall of proximal 10mm of the two common carotid arteries were determined using the 8.0 MHz probe of real time B mode ultrasound imager (ALOKA SSD 4000 ultrasound system Japan).

A 3.5 MHz probe was then used to obtain 2D guide M-mode left ventricular measurements. The Left ventricular mass was obtained using the Devereux formula software. These factors measured were based on the original study and only analysed because they were available.

Statistical analysis

Subjects were grouped based on the duration of DM into less than 1 year, 1-5 years, 6-10 years, 11-16 years, 16-20 years and greater than 20 years. Continuous variables were expressed as means. Analysis was done with both Epi-info 3.5.1 and ANOVA test was done to compare means of the six groups. Statistical significant variation was p<0.05

Results

A total data of 190 participants were analysed. Subjects were between the ages of 21 and 88 years. The total mean age was 55.29 + 14 years.

The subjects were mostly already on anti-diabetic agent/drug Metformin (70%), sulfonylurea Glibenclamide (52%), implying that most subjects were type 2 diabetics. The thiaozolidinediones and glucosidase inhibitors and other relatively newer anti-diabetic drugs were prescribed to very few subjects. Hypertension was the most common co-morbidity (51%), and the most common anti-hypertensive drugs taken by diabetic-hypertensive were of the angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB) or angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor(ACEI) (50%) group followed by Calcium channel blockers (30%). Diuretics were noted in 25% of subjects.

The characteristics of the subject groups and the risk factors are represented by the table below

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of DM</th>
<th>&lt;1yr</th>
<th>1-5yrs</th>
<th>6-10yrs</th>
<th>11-15yrs</th>
<th>16-20yrs</th>
<th>&gt;20yrs</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>34(18%)</td>
<td>76(40%)</td>
<td>44(23%)</td>
<td>22(11%)</td>
<td>10(5%)</td>
<td>4(2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>18(53%)</td>
<td>28(37%)</td>
<td>12(27%)</td>
<td>8(36%)</td>
<td>6(60%)</td>
<td>2(50%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>100.1</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>100.3</td>
<td>102.6</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>124.4</td>
<td>130.5</td>
<td>133.0</td>
<td>141.6</td>
<td>145.0</td>
<td>160.0</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVM (g)</td>
<td>272.1</td>
<td>230.9</td>
<td>255.2</td>
<td>282.6</td>
<td>392.8</td>
<td>219.0</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIMT (mm)</td>
<td>0.7565</td>
<td>0.7558</td>
<td>0.8545</td>
<td>0.8291</td>
<td>0.8180</td>
<td>0.8550</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA(mmol/dl)</td>
<td>333.3</td>
<td>342.1</td>
<td>382.0</td>
<td>419.6</td>
<td>417.7</td>
<td>490.0</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chol T(mmol/dl)</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL(mmol/dl)</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mmol/dl)</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBS (mmol/dl)</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr (mmol/dl)</td>
<td>91.76</td>
<td>87.79</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>99.65</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>257.20</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Keys: WC: waist circumference; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; LVM: left ventricular mass; CIMT: carotid intima-media thickness, UA: uric acid, chol T- total cholesterol; HDL: high dense lipoprotein; FBS: fasting blood sugar; Cr: createnin; P: value was significant (*)

Table 1: Risk Factors in Different Groupings of Duration of DM

The duration of DM ranged from 1year to 30 years, with a mean of 6.99 + 5.97 years and median of 5years. The 25th and 75th quartiles were 2 and 10 years respectively. About 18% of subjects were diagnosed as having DM within a year (Figure 1).

Discussions

While trying to determine cardiovascular risk among diabetic patients presenting for their first specialist visit, we observed that 41% of patients had been said to be living with DM more than 5 years prior to first specialist visit. This finding may appear disturbing considering the fact that most diabetes mellitus patients have been reported to have complications as at the time of diagnosis [7,8]. Specialist evaluation may be urgently needed to evaluate some of these complications. It therefore means interventions could be delayed with grave consequences. This may not necessarily be entirely true because some subjects may have been accessing health
In order to demonstrate risk association with increasing duration of illness, there was an observed relative progressive increase in means of risk factors. Age is an important factor and correlates well with duration of any chronic illness. The decline observed in waist circumference and LVM as duration gets about may not be unconnected to the fact that this subjects are likely to be elderly. Further comparing the means risk factors of each group of individuals at different stages of the disease using the analysis of variance ANOVA, shows statistical differences in the LVM, UA and creatinin. In the case of LVM, the observation may be attributed to the fact that about half the subjects were said to be hypertensive a cause of LV hypertrophy. It is also not considered as a CVD risk factor by some researchers. Uric acid and createnin on the other hand are markers of renal functions. The above finding could suggest a rapid renal and cardiac complications in asymptomatic DM subjects. Studies like that of Osunkwo in this centre had demonstrated that microangiopathy (a CVD risk) is strongly associated with duration of DM, a finding consistent with ours [13]. Danbauchi, et al. in Zaria also demonstrated left ventricular abnormality in DM patients [12,14].

This short communication was observed during further analysis of an initial study (carotid intima-media thickness study), the varying therapy these subjects might have before presenting, the skewness of duration of illness, and the relative small sample sizes are some of the limitations the could have affected the outcome of the study.

**Conclusion**

Most cardiovascular risks steadily rise as the duration of DM gets longer and findings suggested a higher degree of heart and renal complications as DM progresses in this environment.
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